



DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

CENTERVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT 60-1

June 8, 2004

610 Lincoln St., Box 100
Centerville, South Dakota 57014
(605) 563-2291



RECEIVED & INSPECTED

JUN 14 2004

FCC - MAILROOM

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 - 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Request for Review CC Docket No. 02-6

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing on behalf of the Centerville School District, located at 610 Lincoln Street, Centerville, South Dakota, 57014 to appeal a Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) decision that appears to be in error. My appeal relates to the following:

Billed Entity Applicant Name: Centerville School District 60-1
Funding Request Number: 937513
Form 471 Application Number: 342315
Contact Name: Doug Voss
Contact Phone Number: 605-563-2291
Contact Fax Number: 605-563-2615
Contact Email: Doug.Voss@k12.sd.us

Billed Entity Applicant Name: Centerville School District 60-1
Funding Request Number: 937532
Form 471 Application Number: 342315
Contact Name: Doug Voss
Contact Phone Number: 605-563-2291
Contact Fax Number: 605-563-2615
Contact Email: Doug.Voss@k12.sd.us

Billed Entity Applicant Name: Centerville School District 60-1
Funding Request Number: 937543
Form 471 Application Number: 342315
Contact Name: Doug Voss
Contact Phone Number: 605-563-2291
Contact Fax Number: 605-563-2615
Contact Email: Doug.Voss@k12.sd.us

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List A B C D E _____

Billed Entity Applicant Name: Centerville School District 60-1
Funding Request Number: 937573
Form 471 Application Number: 342315
Contact Name: Doug Voss
Contact Phone Number: 605-563-2291
Contact Fax Number: 605-563-2615
Contact Email: Doug.Voss@k12.sd.us

Billed Entity Applicant Name: Centerville School District 60-1
Funding Request Number: 937632
Form 471 Application Number: 342315
Contact Name: Doug Voss
Contact Phone Number: 605-563-2291
Contact Fax Number: 605-563-2615
Contact Email: Doug.Voss@k12.sd.us

This Request for Review is for the reason that the Centerville School District has been denied E-Rate funding by SLD because of a missing signature page for Funding Year 2003. An appeal letter was mailed to SLD on September 8, 2003, based on verbal information given to us by SLD Customer Service. A denial letter from SLD was received by the Centerville School District on April 12, 2004.

SLD contends that the signed signature page for the Form 471 was not received. We have a copy of the Return Receipt that was erroneously sent to our E-Rate consultant, Bonnie Overweg, on January 10, 2003, the same day that the original signature page was mailed to SLD without the return receipt. Nowhere listed within the E-Rate guidelines is it required to send SLD applications "Return Receipt". We were also told in April, 2003, by two SLD Customer Service Representatives, that "the missing signature page was probably at SLD, but a new computer system had been installed in early spring and it was likely that the pages were mislaid in their office". We were also told that SLD was just beginning to fund the 2003 applications and we should be receiving our Funding Commitment Decision Letter in the next months.


Numerous telephone calls were placed to SLD from the time that the Form 471 application had been sent (January 10, 2003) until August 4, 2003, when we were informed by SLD that they were missing our original signature page and that there was nothing they could do for us.

It is extremely important and vital to the Centerville School District to receive E-Rate funds to continue our Distance Learning Education. We contend that the original signature page was mailed to SLD and that the application was filed correctly. Nonetheless, the enclosed return receipt demonstrates that the Form 471 signature page was mailed to SLD within the SLD timelines.

Without the continuation of E-Rate funds at a 60% discount, Distance Learning Education will suffer in Centerville, SD.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Doug Voss". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large loop at the beginning and a long, sweeping tail.

Doug Voss
Superintendent
Centerville School District 60-1
610 Lincoln Street
Centerville, SD 57014



Universal Service Administrative Company
Schools & Libraries Division

Administrator's Decision on Appeal - Funding Year 2003-2004

April 12, 2004

Doug Voss
Centerville School District 60-1
610 Lincoln Street, Box 100
Centerville, SD 57014

Re: Billed Entity Number: 215398
 471 Application Number: 342315
 Funding Request Number(s): 937513, 937532, 937543, 937573, 937632
 Your Correspondence Dated: September 8, 2003

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) has made its decision regarding your appeal of your Funding Year 2003 Form 471 for the application number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of SLD's decision. The date of this letter begins the 60-day period for appealing this decision to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). If your letter of appeal included more than one application number, please note that for each application an appeal is submitted, a separate letter is sent.

Funding Request Number(s): 937513, 937532, 937543, 937573, 937632
Decision on Appeal: **Denied in full**
Explanation:

- On appeal, you request that SLD allow Centerville School District (District) to receive E-Rate funds to continue their Distance Learning Education. In support of your request, you assert that the District did not receive any correspondence from the SLD regarding the Form 471 application. You also assert that it was never mentioned to the District that the application was incomplete.
- Upon review of the appeal letter and the relevant facts, SLD determined that a signed certification page was never received for Form 471 application number 342315. During review of the appeal, SLD contacted you and requested proof-of-mailing for the Form 471 certification page. You replied that the signed application was mailed to the SLD, and a copy of the application was mailed to your consultant on January 10, 2003. However, your secretary sent the "returned signature required," application to the consultant instead of to SLD. You also stated that the District never received the signed application back in the mail from the SLD, and did not discover the error

until it was past the filing deadline. Since you could not provide proof-of-mailing of the Form 471 certification page to the SLD, SLD must rely on its records. SLD's records confirmed that a certification page for Form 471 application number 342315 was never received by SLD.

- Consistent with the FCC's Decision on South Barber Unified School District, the signature certification is fundamental to the administration of the program. SLD relies on the signature certification to establish the authority of the signer to represent the applicant. Signature certifications ultimately satisfy the program's policy objective of binding the applicants and service providers to the program requirements. Failing to submit a signature certification omits the legally binding act that signifies compliance with program rules.¹ Therefore, your appeal is denied in full.

If you believe there is a basis for further examination of your application, you may file an appeal with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). You should refer to CC Docket No. 02-6 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC. Your appeal must be received or postmarked within 60 days of the date on this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Further information and options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found in the "Appeals Procedure" posted in the Reference Area of the SLD web site or by contacting the Client Service Bureau. We strongly recommend that you use the electronic filing options.

We thank you for your continued support, patience, and cooperation during the appeal process.

Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company


¹ *Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by South Barber Unified School District 255, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carriers Association, Inc.*, File No. SLD-158897, CC Dockets No. 96-45- and 97-21, Order, DA 01-2233, 16 FCC Rcd 18,435 (Rel. Oct. 23, 2001).

(Domestic Mail Only. No Insurance Coverage Provided)

09E2 6295 0000 065T 0002

OFFICIAL FILE

Postage	\$ 1.06
Certified Fee	2.30
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	1.75
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 5.11



Sent to
Binnie Overwey (Overpas Consulting)
Street, Apt. No.; or PO Box No.
4821 E 3rd St
City, State, ZIP+4
Sioux Falls SD 57110
PS Form 3800, May 2000 See Reverse for Instructions

Is your RETURN ADDRESS completed on the reverse side?

- Complete items 1 and/or 2 for additional services.
- Complete items 3, 4a, and 4b.
- Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so that we can return this card to you.
- Attach this form to the front of the mailpiece, or on the back if space does not permit.
- Write "Return Receipt Requested" on the mailpiece below the article number.
- The Return Receipt will show to whom the article was delivered and the date delivered.

Consult postmaster for fee.

3. Article Addressed to:
Bunnie Overweg
Overweg Consulting
4821 E 3rd St.
Sioux Falls, SD 57110

4a. Article Number	
70001530000056237360	
4b. Service Type	
<input type="checkbox"/> Registered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail	<input type="checkbox"/> Insured
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise	<input type="checkbox"/> COD
7. Date of Delivery	
DEL R22 1-11-03	

5. Received By: (Print Name)
Bonnie Overweeg

8. Addressee's Address (Only if requested and fee is paid)

6. Signature: (Addressee or Agent): X [Signature] 7

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Domestic Return Receipt

Thank you for using Return Receipt Service.

2003 E-Rate Application Outline for Centerville, SD

- **10/23/2003** – Form 470 for Funding Year 2003 was filed online with the Schools and Libraries.
- **1/10/2003** - Doug Voss signed the Form 471 signature page and mailed Schools and Libraries and to Bonnie Overweg/Consultant. Consultant received her copies.
 1. There is no requirement to send signature pages Return Receipt
- **8/4/2003** - Found out from SLD that they had never received the original signature pages...but website says Complete, but the explanation says this means that the “certification process has not been completed”. Does not say that they have not received the pages.
- **9/8/2003** - Letter of Appeal was sent to SLD
- **10/16/2003** – Bonnie called SLD regarding the status of the appeal and was told that the letter had been received and was “in the process”.
- **11/18/2003** – Doug was contacted by SLD asking for additional information regarding the appeal. Doug responded via a letter explaining that numerous phone calls were placed to SLD regarding the application and we were never told that the signature page was missing. On one phone call in April, 2003, Bonnie was told that SLD had installed a new computer system in early spring and that a lot of paperwork had been mislaid during the transition. We also have never received a denial or paperwork saying that the application was invalid. The appeal letter was done based on the verbal information that was received. We have never received any other paperwork letting us know that the application was denied.
- **3/25/2004** – Doug sent a letter to Senator Tom Daschle outlining their E-Rate issue.
- **4/6/2004** – Senator Daschle sent a letter to The Honorable Michael Powell with the Federal Communications Commission supporting the Centerville School District’s appeal.
- **4/12/2004** – The Centerville School District received a “Denied in Full” letter from USAC.
- **4/22/2004** – A letter was mailed to Senator Tom Daschle from Narda M. Jones with the Federal Communications Commission stating that they have no record of an appeal from the Centerville School District.
- **5/10/2004** – Bonnie spoke with Helen from SLD and was informed that the SLD does not send out denial letters, etc. on Form 471’s that an original signature has not been received. She said that there are school’s that purposely do not send in the signature page for whatever reason and that it is too big of a job for SLD to accomplish. Case #21-080307.



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

APR 22 2004

The Honorable Tom Daschle
United States Senate
320 North Main Avenue, Suite B
Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57104
ATTN: Stephanie Devitt

Re: Centerville School District 60-1
Application Numbers SLD-342315 and 349947

Dear Senator Daschle:

Thank you for forwarding correspondence from Doug Voss, Superintendent of the Centerville School District in Centerville, South Dakota, regarding the district's appeal of the Schools and Libraries Division's (SLD) decision to deny their E-rate application for support under the schools and libraries universal service support mechanism.

On April 12, 2004, the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) issued an Administrator's Decision on Appeal-Funding Year 2003-2004 letters (copies enclosed), affirming its denial of Centerville's application. As stated in the next to last paragraph of that letter, Centerville has sixty days, to appeal SLD's decision to the Commission. We have no record of an appeal from Centerville at this time.

Thank you for sharing your views, and please contact me if you have any additional questions regarding this matter at (202) 418-7400.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Narda M. Jones".

Narda M. Jones
Deputy Chief
Telecommunications Access Policy Division
Wireline Competition Bureau

Enclosures



Universal Service Administrative Company
Schools & Libraries Division

Administrator's Decision on Appeal - Funding Year 2003-2004

April 12, 2004

Doug Voss
Centerville School District 60-1
610 Lincoln Street, Box 100
Centerville, SD 57014

Re: Billed Entity Number: 215398
 471 Application Number: 342315
 Funding Request Number(s): 937513, 937532, 937543, 937573, 937632
 Your Correspondence Dated: September 8, 2003

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) has made its decision regarding your appeal of your Funding Year 2003 Form 471 for the application number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of SLD's decision. The date of this letter begins the 60-day period for appealing this decision to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). If your letter of appeal included more than one application number, please note that for each application an appeal is submitted, a separate letter is sent.

Funding Request Number(s): 937513, 937532, 937543, 937573, 937632
Decision on Appeal: **Denied in full**
Explanation:

- On appeal, you request that SLD allow Centerville School District (District) to receive E-Rate funds to continue their Distance Learning Education. In support of your request, you assert that the District did not receive any correspondence from the SLD regarding the Form 471 application. You also assert that it was never mentioned to the District that the application was incomplete.
- Upon review of the appeal letter and the relevant facts, SLD determined that a signed certification page was never received for Form 471 application number 342315. During review of the appeal, SLD contacted you and requested proof-of-mailing for the Form 471 certification page. You replied that the signed application was mailed to the SLD, and a copy of the application was mailed to your consultant on January 10, 2003. However, your secretary sent the "returned signature required," application to the consultant instead of to SLD. You also stated that the District never received the signed application back in the mail from the SLD, and did not discover the error

until it was past the filing deadline. Since you could not provide proof-of-mailing of the Form 471 certification page to the SLD, SLD must rely on its records. SLD's records confirmed that a certification page for Form 471 application number 342315 was never received by SLD.

- Consistent with the FCC's Decision on South Barber Unified School District, the signature certification is fundamental to the administration of the program. SLD relies on the signature certification to establish the authority of the signer to represent the applicant. Signature certifications ultimately satisfy the program's policy objective of binding the applicants and service providers to the program requirements. Failing to submit a signature certification omits the legally binding act that signifies compliance with program rules.¹ Therefore, your appeal is denied in full.

If you believe there is a basis for further examination of your application, you may file an appeal with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). You should refer to CC Docket No. 02-6 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC. Your appeal must be received or postmarked within 60 days of the date on this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Further information and options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found in the "Appeals Procedure" posted in the Reference Area of the SLD web site or by contacting the Client Service Bureau. We strongly recommend that you use the electronic filing options.

We thank you for your continued support, patience, and cooperation during the appeal process.

Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company

¹ Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by South Barber Unified School District 255, *Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carriers Association, Inc.*, File No. SLD 158892, CC Dockets No. 96-45- and 97-21, Order, D.1 01-2233, 16 FCC Red 18,435 (Rel. Oct. 23, 2001).

<http://daschle.senate.gov>

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-4103

320 NORTH MAIN AVENUE, SUITE B
SIOUX FALLS, SD 57101
(605) 334-9596
TDD (605) 334-4632

Stephanie Devill
Outreach Coordinator for
Senator Tom Daschle

THOMAS DASCHLE
SOUTH DAKOTA

COMMITTEES:
AGRICULTURE
FINANCE
RULES AND ADMINISTRATION
(202) 224-2321
TOLL FREE 1-800-424-9094
<http://daschle.senate.gov>

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-4103

April 6, 2004

320 SOUTH FIRST STREET, SUITE 101
ABERDEEN, SD 57402
(605) 225-8823

1313 WEST MAIN STREET
RAPID CITY, SD 57702
(605) 348-7551

320 NORTH MAIN AVENUE, SUITE B
SIOUX FALLS, SD 57101
(605) 334-9596
TDD (605) 334-4632

The Honorable Michael Powell
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Chairman:

I recently have been contacted by Doug Voss, Superintendent of the Centerville School District in Centerville, South Dakota, regarding the district's appeal of the Schools and Libraries Division's (SLD) decision to deny their E-rate application (applications 342315 and 349947). I have enclosed a copy of his correspondence and supporting documentation for your review.


As you will note, Mr. Voss applied online for the e-rate program on January 10, 2003. Upon completion, he mailed the signature page, or Form 471, to both SLD and the district's consultant, Bonnie Overweg. Unfortunately, Ms. Overweg, rather than SLD, mistakenly received the Form 471 copy mailed "return receipt requested".

Nonetheless, SLD's website listed the Centerville School District's application as complete throughout this period. The district has not received a denial of their application to date. In the summer of 2003, communication with SLD, initiated by Mr. Voss and Ms. Overweg, revealed that the division did not receive the signature page. An appeal to this denial was filed in September, 2003.

My reason for writing is to support the Centerville School District's appeal of SLD's e-rate application denial. E-rate funding is critical to Centerville's already tight budget. The district believed that all materials were appropriately received, as the e-rate website listed their application as complete. Furthermore, the enclosed return receipt, while misdirected, demonstrates that Mr. Voss did mail the signature page to SLD in a timely manner. Thus, I strongly urge you to look favorably upon the appeal, and look forward to learning of your decision.

As a result of updated mail security procedures implemented in the wake of the 2001 discovery of anthrax in my office, mail to all my Senate offices is routed through Washington, DC, and delivery can take several weeks. Accordingly, so that I may respond to my constituents' inquiries with the timeliness they deserve, please fax your response or any other correspondence regarding this matter to my Sioux Falls office at (605) 334-2591. Thank you in advance for taking this matter into consideration.

With best wishes, I am

Sincerely,

Tom Daschle
United States Senate

TAD/ssd
Enclosure



CENTERVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT 60-1



610 Lincoln St., Box 100
Centerville, South Dakota 57014
(605) 563-2291

Date: March 25, 2004

To: Senator Tome Daschle

Re: E-rate Appeal for Centerville School

Dear Senator Daschle

I have been in contact with Stephanie Devitt from your Sioux Falls office and she suggested that I send you a letter outlining our problem. Centerville School receives e-rate each year and relies on these funds to help with our school budget. We are suffering from a lack of school funding as are most South Dakota schools. We have not discussed an Opt-Out as many schools have, as we have made some critical decisions early enough to forestall this unpleasant process. All e-rate funds are very important to our school and community and I will try to explain the reason why we are in the appeal process.


In Jan. of 2003, I applied for the e-rate with a form called 471. I applied on line with this form and then needed to send in the signature page. You can send in the signature page regular mail or US Postal service-return receipt requested. In the past we normally send this page with a return receipt requested. On Jan. 10, 2003, I mailed 2 packets, one packet was a copy of the 471 application to our local Southeast Cooperative Consultant, Bonnie Overweg, and the other was the signature page to Schools and Libraries. The signature page was suppose to be return receipt requested and the two packets got mixed up and the packet to the Consultant got sent with the return receipt requested. When I was given the receipt from the post office I did not look at it and just put it in my file with the 471 application.

After a 471 application is filed you will receive a 471 acknowledgment letter which we did not receive. The applications for this year were really slow so we thought that everything was in and it was just a slower process than usual. There is a place on SLD website to check on the progress of your application. Bonnie Overweg had checked that site for us and saw that ours was listed as being complete so we just thought that things were very slow this year. During the summer, I finally called and asked what was taking so long and that is when I was told that our application had been denied because they had not received the signature page. We still have never received a letter of denial or the reason that we were denied from SLD. Bonnie Overweg called the SLD office and was told that the pages could have been mislaid because a new computer system had been installed. Please refer to her email dated Nov. 18, 2003 which is enclosed in this packet.

I filed an appeal in Sept., but a decision has not being made as of this date. If we had been informed of the file being incomplete we could have easily corrected the situation. I have never received the packet back that was sent in through the post office which had a return address on it.

I have enclosed all of the paper work that involves this appeal. Anything that your office can do would be greatly appreciated. If you have any questions please don't hesitate to call. Good luck with the upcoming election. My wife and I both have your bumper stickers on our vehicles and I even convinced an older gentleman that I have coffee with each morning to put one of your bumper stickers on his pickup. He is a strong Republican and I can't believe I convinced him to vote for you, but he said he would. I will work on some others in town also. Thanks for you help.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Doug Voss". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large loop at the beginning and a long, sweeping tail.

Doug Voss, Supt.

Steve--This reply is in regards to 471 applications numbered 349947 and 342315. Our school has a consultant who reviews and keeps us apprised of e-rate applications. On Jan. 10, 2003 I mailed the signed application to SLD and a copy of that application to our consultant. My secretary got mixed up on the applications and sent the one to the consultant as returned signature required instead of the one to SLD. We never received the signed application back in the mail from SLD and did not discover the error until it was past the deadline. Our consultant checked the status of the applications on the SLD website and it was listed as completed. She also made numerous calls to SLD and was never told that the signature page was missing. I still have never have received word that our 471 application has been denied. I finally called this fall and asked what was taking so long on the application and was told at that time that they had never received the signature page. My consultant called SLD and was told that a new computer system had been installed and a lot of paperwork had been mislaid during the transition. We were told to appeal the matter. I realize that we made the mistake of mixing up which application needed the return signature, but if you had not received the signature page, we should have had it returned by the US mail by now and that has not happened. We have contacted Senator Tom Daschle's office and he told us to go through the appeal process and if that doesn't work then he will get involved. Our school is facing financial problems just like most schools and if these applications are not approved it will place a real financial hardship on us in the educating of our children and would appreciate any help you would consider giving us. Thank you very much and if you need anything else please email me. I would also appreciate an email from you letting me know that you received this.

Stephen Casavant wrote:

Doug, Please reply to this email as i forgot to include your second application #349947.

Thanks,
Steve

Doug,

*use case
21-014216 on
when checking on
appeal
e-mailed
11-18-03*

As we discussed this morning via phone, please address the following question(s).

Your appeal letter states that the application (#342315) was placed in an "incomplete" status. You also state that you called SLD and the customer service personnel informed you that the signature page was missing. Can you provide proof of mailing such as certified return receipt or other documentation? If you cannot, please provide any details that may relate to this.

It is important that we receive all of the information requested so we can complete our review. Failure to do so may result in a reduction or denial of funding.

Please send the requested information within seven calendar days.

Thank you for cooperation and continued support of the Universal Service Program.

Please reply via email and if you have any questions, please let me know.

Thanks,
Steve

Steve Casavant
Schools & Libraries Division

September 8, 2003

Letter of Appeal
Schools and Libraries Division
Box 125 - Correspondence Unit
80 South Jefferson Road
Whippany, NJ 07981

RE: Funding Year 2003 Appeal for Billed Entity Number 215398

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am writing on behalf of the Centerville School District, located at 610 Lincoln Street, Centerville, South Dakota, 57014 to appeal an application decision that appears to be in error. My appeal relates to the following:

Billed Entity Applicant Name: Centerville School District 60-1
Funding Request Number: 937513
Form 471 Application Number: 342315
Contact Name: Doug Voss
Contact Phone Number: 605-563-2291
Contact Fax Number: 605-563-2615
Contact E-mail: dvoss@dtgnet.com

Billed Entity Applicant Name: Centerville School District 60-1
Funding Request Number: 937532
Form 471 Application Number: 342315
Contact Name: Doug Voss
Contact Phone Number: 605-563-2291
Contact Fax Number: 605-563-2615
Contact E-mail: dvoss@dtgnet.com

Billed Entity Applicant Name: Centerville School District 60-1
Funding Request Number: 937543
Form 471 Application Number: 342315
Contact Name: Doug Voss
Contact Phone Number: 605-563-2291
Contact Fax Number: 605-563-2615
Contact E-mail: dvoss@dtgnet.com

10/16/03 -
called SLD -
appeal #1 has
been received &
entered into system.
#2 is "in the process".

Billed Entity Applicant Name: Centerville School District 60-1
Funding Request Number: 937573
Form 471 Application Number: 342315
Contact Name: Doug Voss
Contact Phone Number: 605-563-2291
Contact Fax Number: 605-563-2615
Contact E-mail: dvoss@dtgnet.com

Billed Entity Applicant Name: Centerville School District 60-1
Funding Request Number: 937632
Form 471 Application Number: 342315
Contact Name: Doug Voss
Contact Phone Number: 605-563-2291
Contact Fax Number: 605-563-2615
Contact E-mail: dvoss@dtgnet.com

Billed Entity Applicant Name: Centerville School District 60-1
Funding Request Number: 941822
Form 471 Application Number: 349947
Contact Name: Doug Voss
Contact Phone Number: 605-563-2291
Contact Fax Number: 605-563-2615
Contact E-mail: dvoss@dtgnet.com

To date, the Centerville School District has not received any correspondence from Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) regarding the Form 471 application. I consulted the SLD website and discovered that signature pages had not been received by SLD. Numerous telephone calls have been placed to SLD requesting status on the E-Rate application, but it had never been mentioned that the application was incomplete. The Form 471 signature page was sent via US Postal Service on January 10, 2003. In speaking with two SLD Customer Service personnel, I was informed that the missing signature page was possibly at SLD, but a new computer system had been installed this past spring and it is likely that the pages were mislaid in their office. Because of the missing signature page, the Centerville School District's E-Rate application is incomplete.

It is extremely important and vital to the Centerville School District to receive E-Rate funds to continue their Distance Learning Education. Without the continuation of funds, at a 60% discount, Distance Learning Education will suffer.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Doug Voss

Superintendent
Centerville School District 60-1
610 Lincoln Street
Centerville, SD 57014

CANCEL

**Schools and Libraries Service Program
Services Ordered and Certification Form 471
Application Status Display**

Entity Number:
215398

Funding Year: 2003

Application Status	Explanation
Canceled	Your Form 471 has been canceled. No further action will be taken on this form by the SLD.
Incomplete	Block 1 of your Form 471 has been successfully data entered.
Complete	THIS STATUS IS FOR ONLINE FILERS ONLY: You have clicked the "Submit" button to file your Form 471, but the Block 6 certification process (whether online or on paper) has not been completed.
Certified - In Window	This Form 471 was successfully certified within the filing window for the Funding Year.
Certified - Out of Window	This Form 471 was certified outside of the filing window for the Funding Year.
In Review	Your Form 471 is being reviewed for compliance with FCC rules by the Program Integrity Assurance (PIA) group. You may be contacted by PIA during the review process.
FCDL Issued - 'xx/xx/xxxx'	The SLD has issued a Funding Commitment Decision Letter (FCDL) on the date indicated that references one or more Funding Requests from your Form 471. If more than one FCDL has been issued, the date indicated is the date of the most recent FCDL.

Form 471 Application Number	Applicant Form Identifier	Application Status
342307	CHS	Incomplete
342315	CHS	Complete ✓
342652		Incomplete
349947	CSD	Complete ✓

4 Pending
Pending

Previous

1997 - 2003 ©

*Appeal because
signature pgs.
1/10/03*

Administrative Company, All Rights Reserved

Not Certified

**Schools and Libraries Universal Service Program
Services Ordered and Certification Form 471
Application Display**

[Refresh Page](#)
[Close Print Preview](#)

Block 1: Billed Entity Information

Applicant's Form Identifier: CHS

471 Application Number: 342315

Funding Year: 07/01/2003 - 06/30/2004

Billed Entity Number: 215398

Name: CENTERVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT # 60-1

Address: 610 LINCOLN ST.

City: CENTERVILLE State: SD Zip: 57014

Contact Name: Doug Voss

Address:

City: State: Zip:

Type of Application: SCHOOL DISTRICT

Ineligible Orgs: N

Block 3: Impact of Services Ordered in THIS Application

Number of students to be served: 260

Number of library patrons to be served:

SERVICE DESCRIPTION	BEFORE ORDER	AFTER ORDER
a. (Schools/districts/consortia only) Telephone service: How many classrooms had phone service before and after your order?	24	24
b. High-bandwidth voice/data/video service: How many buildings served before and after your order?	1	1
c. High-bandwidth voice/data/video service: Highest speed to a building before and after your order?	DS3	DS3
d. Dial-up Internet connections: How many before and after your order?	0	0
e. Dial-up Internet connections: Highest speed before and after your order?	0	0
f. Direct connections to the Internet: How many before and after your order?	2	2
g. Direct connections to the Internet: Highest speed before and after your order?	T1	T1
h. Internet access(for schools): How many rooms have Internet access before and after your order?	46	46
i. Internet Access: How many computers (or other devices) with internet access before and after your order?	158	158
k. Other technology outcomes: (please specify):	0	0

Block 4: Worksheets

Worksheet A No: 440383

Student Count: 260

Weighted Product (Sum. Column 8): 156

Shared Discount: N/A

1. School Name: CENTERVILLE SCHOOL

2. Entity Number: 134062 3. Rural/Urban: Rural

4. Student Count: 260

5. NSLP Students: 62

6. NSLP Students/Students: 23.846%

7. Discount: 60%

8. Weighted Product: 156

Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s)

FRN: 937513 FCDL Date:	
11. Category of Service: Telecommunications Service	12. 470 Application Number: 851510000420915
13. SPIN: 143009956	14. Service Provider Name: Fort Randall Telephone Company
15. Contract Number: MTM	16. Billing Account Number: 6055632291
17. Allowable Contract Date: 11/18/2002	18. Contract Award Date:
19a. Service Start Date: 07/01/2003	19b. Service End Date: 06/30/2004
20. Contract Expiration Date:	
21. Attachment #: 1	22. Block 4 Entity Number: 134062
23a. Monthly Charges: \$130.00	23b. Ineligible monthly amt.: \$.00
23c. Eligible monthly amt.: \$130.00	23d. Number of months of service: 12
23e. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (23c x 23d): \$1,560.00	
23f. Annual non-recurring (one-time) charges: \$.00 23g. Ineligible non-recurring amt.: \$.00	
23h. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible non-recurring charges (23f - 23g): \$0.00	
23i. Total program year pre-discount amount (23e + 23h): \$1,560.00	
23j. % discount (from Block 4): 60	
23k. Funding Commitment Request (23i x 23j): \$936.00	

FRN: 937532 FCDL Date:	
11. Category of Service: Telecommunications Service	12. 470 Application Number: 851510000420915
13. SPIN: 143008756	14. Service Provider Name: Western Wireless Corporation dba Cellular One
15. Contract Number: MTM	16. Billing Account Number: 6056532291
17. Allowable Contract Date: 11/18/2002	18. Contract Award Date:
19a. Service Start Date: 07/01/2003	19b. Service End Date: 06/30/2004
20. Contract Expiration Date:	
21. Attachment #: 2	22. Block 4 Entity Number: 134062
23a. Monthly Charges: \$25.00	23b. Ineligible monthly amt.: \$.00
23c. Eligible monthly amt.: \$25.00	23d. Number of months of service: 12
23e. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (23c x 23d): \$300.00	
23f. Annual non-recurring (one-time) charges: \$.00 23g. Ineligible non-recurring amt.: \$.00	
23h. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible non-recurring charges (23f - 23g): \$0.00	
23i. Total program year pre-discount amount (23e + 23h): \$300.00	
23j. % discount (from Block 4): 60	
23k. Funding Commitment Request (23i x 23j): \$180.00	

FRN: 937543 FCDL Date:	
11. Category of Service: Telecommunications Service	12. 470 Application Number: 851510000420915
13. SPIN: 143002229	14. Service Provider Name: PrairieWave Communications, Inc.
15. Contract Number: T	16. Billing Account Number: 6055632291
17. Allowable Contract Date: 11/18/2002	18. Contract Award Date:
19a. Service Start Date: 07/01/2003	19b. Service End Date: 06/30/2004
20. Contract Expiration Date:	
21. Attachment #: 3 <i>LD</i>	22. Block 4 Entity Number: 134062
23a. Monthly Charges: \$130.00	23b. Ineligible monthly amt.: \$0.00
23c. Eligible monthly amt.: \$130.00	23d. Number of months of service: 12
23e. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (23c x 23d): \$1,560.00	
23f. Annual non-recurring (one-time) charges: \$0.00	23g. Ineligible non-recurring amt.: \$0.00
23h. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible non-recurring charges (23f - 23g): \$0.00	
23i. Total program year pre-discount amount (23e + 23h): \$1,560.00	
23j. % discount (from Block 4): 60	
23k. Funding Commitment Request (23i x 23j): \$936.00	

FRN: 937573 FCDL Date:	
11. Category of Service: Telecommunications Service	12. 470 Application Number: 851510000420915
13. SPIN: 143002229	14. Service Provider Name: PrairieWave Communications, Inc.
15. Contract Number: 4611760	16. Billing Account Number: 6055632291
17. Allowable Contract Date: 11/18/2002	18. Contract Award Date: 12/10/2002
19a. Service Start Date: 07/01/2003	19b. Service End Date:
20. Contract Expiration Date: 06/30/2004	
21. Attachment #: 4 <i>DL</i>	22. Block 4 Entity Number: 134062
23a. Monthly Charges: \$1,800.00	23b. Ineligible monthly amt.: \$0.00
23c. Eligible monthly amt.: \$1,800.00	23d. Number of months of service: 12
23e. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (23c x 23d): \$21,600.00	
23f. Annual non-recurring (one-time) charges: \$0.00	23g. Ineligible non-recurring amt.: \$0.00
23h. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible non-recurring charges (23f - 23g): \$0.00	
23i. Total program year pre-discount amount (23e + 23h): \$21,600.00	
23j. % discount (from Block 4): 60	
23k. Funding Commitment Request (23i x 23j): \$12,960.00	

FRN: 937632 FCDL Date:	
11. Category of Service: Internet Access	12. 470 Application Number: 851510000420915
13. SPIN: 143002229	14. Service Provider Name: PrairieWave Communications, Inc.
15. Contract Number: MTM	16. Billing Account Number: 6055632291
17. Allowable Contract Date: 11/18/2002	18. Contract Award Date:
19a. Service Start Date: 07/01/2003	19b. Service End Date: 06/30/2004
20. Contract Expiration Date:	
21. Attachment #: 5 <i>Internet</i>	22. Block 4 Entity Number: 134062
23a. Monthly Charges: \$100.00	23b. Ineligible monthly amt.: \$0.00
23c. Eligible monthly amt.: \$100.00	23d. Number of months of service: 12
23e. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (23c x 23d): \$1,200.00	
23f. Annual non-recurring (one-time) charges: \$0.00	23g. Ineligible non-recurring amt.: \$0.00
23h. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible non-recurring charges (23f - 23g): \$0.00	
23i. Total program year pre-discount amount (23e + 23h): \$1,200.00	
23j. % discount (from Block 4): 60	

ATTACHMENT NUMBER 1

FORT RANDALL TELEPHONE COMPANY--BASIC PHONE SERVICE

\$130.00 PER MONTH--TOTAL YEARLY CHARGE--\$1560.00

ATTACHMENT NUMBER 2

WESTERN WIRELESS CORPORATION dba CELLULAR ONE--BASIC PHONE
SERVICE

\$25.00 PER MONTH--TOTAL YEARLY CHARGE--\$300.00

ATTACHMENT NUMBER 3

PRAIRIE WAVE COMMUNICATIONS INC.--LONG DISTANCE PHONE SERVICE

\$130.00 PER MONTH--TOTAL YEARLY CHARGE--\$1560.00

ATTACHMENT NUMBER 4

**PRAIRIE WAVE COMMUNICATIONS INC.--LONG DISTANCE LEARNING
SERVICE/INTERACTIVE VIDEO DATA LINK**

\$1800.00 PER MONTH--TOTAL YEARLY CHARGE--\$21,600.00

ATTACHMENT NUMBER 5

PRAIRIE WAVE COMMUNICATIONS INC.--INTERNET ACCESS SERVICE

\$100.00 PER MONTH--TOTAL YEARLY CHARGE--\$1200.00

Block 6: Certifications and Signature

24a. Schools:**24b. Libraries or Library Consortia:****26a. Individual Technology Plan:****26b. Higher-Level Technology Plan(s):****26c. No Technology Plan Needed:****27a. Approved Technology Plan(s):****27b. State Approved Technology Plan:****27c. No Technology Plan Needed:****36. Printed Name of Authorized Person:****37. Title or Position of Authorized Person:**

[Refresh Page](#)[Close Print Preview](#)

1997 - 2003 © , Universal Service Administrative Company, All Rights Reserved

HOME

CANCEL

HELP

FCC Form 471

Services Ordered and Certification Form



Applicant's Form Identifier: CHS

Entity Number: 215398

Contact Person: Doug Voss

Phone Number: (605) 563-2291

Block 6: Certifications and Signature

Do not write in this area

471 Application Number: 342315

24. The entities listed in Block 4 of this application are eligible for support because they are: (check one or both)

- a. ☒ schools under the statutory definitions of elementary and secondary schools found in the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, 20 U.S.C. Secs. 7801(18) and (38), that do not operate as for-profit businesses, and do not have endowments exceeding \$50 million; and/or
- b. ☐ libraries or library consortia eligible for assistance from a State library administrative agency under the Library Services and Technology Act of 1996 that do not operate as for-profit businesses and whose budgets are completely separate from any school (including, but not limited to) elementary and secondary schools, colleges and universities

25. The eligible schools and libraries listed in Block 4 of this application have secured access to all of the resources, including computers, training, software, maintenance, and electrical connections necessary to make effective use of the services purchased as well as to pay the discounted charges for eligible services.

26. All of the schools and libraries or library consortia listed in Block 4 of this application are covered by:

- a. ☒ an individual technology plan for using the services requested in this application; and/or
- b. ☒ higher-level technology plan(s) for using the services requested in this application; or
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; applying for basic local distance telephone service only.

27. Status of technology plans (if representing multiple entities with mixed technology plan status, check both a and b):

- a. ☒ technology plan(s) has/have been approved; and/or
- b. ☐ technology plan(s) will be approved by a state or other authorized body; or
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; applying for basic local and long distance telephone service only.

28. I certify that the entities eligible for support that I am representing have complied with all applicable state and local laws regarding procurements of services for which support is being sought.

29. I certify that the services the applicant purchases at discounts provided by 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254 will be used solely for educational purposes and will not be sold, resold, or transferred in consideration for money or any other thing of value.

30. I certify that the entity(ies) I represent has complied with all program rules and I acknowledge that failure to do so may result in denial of discount funding and/or cancellation of funding commitments.

31. I understand that the discount level used for shared services is conditional, for future years, upon ensuring that the most disadvantaged schools and libraries that are treated as sharing in the service receive an appropriate share of benefits from those services.

32. I recognize that I may be audited pursuant to this application. I will retain for five years any and all worksheets and other records that I rely upon to fill out this application, and, if audited, will make available to the Administrator such records.

33. I certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the above-named institution, that I have examined this request, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, all statements of fact contained herein are true.

34. Signature of authorized person

Douglas Voss

35. Date *1-10-03*

36. Printed name of authorized person *Douglas Voss*

37. Title or position of authorized person *Supt.*

38. Telephone number of authorized person *(605) 563-2291 x 155*

471 Application Number: 342315
CENTERVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT # 60-1
610 LINCOLN ST.
CENTERVILLE, SD 57014

Persons willfully making false statements on this form can be punished by fine or forfeiture, under the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. Secs. 502, 503(b), or fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1001.

The Americans with Disabilities Act, the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act and the Rehabilitation Act may impose obligations on entities to make the services purchased with these discounts accessible to and usable by people with disabilities.

NOTICE: Section 54.504 of the Federal Communications Commission's rules requires all schools and libraries ordering services that are eligible for and seeking universal service discounts to file this Description of Services Requested and Certification Form (FCC Form 470) with the Universal Service Administrator, 47 C.F.R. § 54.504. The collection of information stems from the Commission's authority under Section 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 254. The data in the report will be used to ensure that schools and libraries comply with the competitive bidding requirement contained in 47 C.F.R. § 54.504. All schools and libraries planning to order services eligible for universal service discounts must file this form themselves or as part of a consortium.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The FCC is authorized under the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, to collect the information we request in this form. We will use the information you provide to determine whether approving this application is in the public interest. If we believe there may be a violation or a potential violation of a FCC statute, regulation, rule or order, your application may be referred to the Federal, state, or local agency responsible for investigating, prosecuting, enforcing, or implementing the statute, rule, regulation or order. In certain cases, the information in your application may be disclosed to the Department of Justice or a court or adjudicative body when (a) the FCC; or (b) any employee of the FCC; or (c) the United States Government is a party of a proceeding before the body or has an interest in the proceeding. In addition, consistent with the Communications Act of 1934, FCC regulations and orders, the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, or other applicable law, information provided in or submitted with this form or in response to subsequent inquiries may be disclosed to the public.

If you owe a past due debt to the federal government, the information you provide may also be disclosed to the Department of the Treasury Financial Management Service, other Federal agencies and/or your employer to offset your salary, IRS tax refund or other payments to collect that debt. The FCC may also provide the information to these agencies through the matching of computer records when authorized.

If you do not provide the information we request on the form, the FCC may delay processing of your application or may return your application without action.

The foregoing Notice is required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. § 3501, et seq.

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 46 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, completing, and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the reporting burden to the Federal Communications Commission, Performance Evaluation and Records Management, Washington, DC 20554.

Please submit this form to:

SLD - Form 471
P.O. Box 7026
Lawrence, KS 66044-7026

For express delivery services or U.S. Postal Service, Return Receipt Requested, mail this form to:

SLD - Form 471
C/O Ms. Smith
3833 Greenway Drive
Lawrence, KS 66046
(888) 203-8100

[Return to SLD Home Page](#)

1997 - 2003 © , Universal Service Administrative Company, All Rights Reserved

4)

Schools and Libraries Universal Service Description of Services Requested and Certification Form

Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response: 5.0 hours

This form is designed to help you describe the eligible telecommunications-related services you seek so that this data can be posted on the Fund Administrator website and interested service providers can identify you as a potential customer and compete to serve you.

Please read instructions before completing.

(To be completed by entity that will negotiate with providers.)

Block 1: Applicant Address and Identifications

(School, library, or consortium desiring Universal Service funding.)

Form 470 Application Number: 851510000420915

Applicant's Form Identifier: CHS

Application Status: COMPLETE

Posting Date: 10/21/2002

Allowable Contract Date: 11/18/2002

Certification Received Date:

1. Name of Applicant:

CENTERVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT # 60-1

2. Funding Year:

07/01/2003 - 06/30/2004

3. Your Entity Number

215398

4. Applicant's Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number**a. Street**

610 LINCOLN ST.

City

CENTERVILLE

State

SD

Zip Code 5Digit

57014

Zip Code 4Digit**b. Telephone number**

ext.

(605) 563- 2291

c. Fax number

(605) 563- 2615

d. E-mail Address

dvoss@dtgnet.com

5. Type Of Applicant (Check only one box)
☐ Library (including library system, library branch, or library consortium applying as a library)

☐ Individual School (individual public or non-public school)

☒ School District (LEA; public or non-public[e.g., diocesan] local district representing multiple schools)

☐ Consortium (intermediate service agencies, states, state networks, special consortia)
6a. Contact Person's Name: Doug Voss**6b. Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number (If different from Item 4)**
☒ 610 LINCOLN ST.
City

CENTERVILLE

State

SD

Zip Code 5Digit

57014

Zip Code 4Digit

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6c. Telephone Number (10 digits + ext.)	(605) 563- 2291
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6d. Fax Number (10 digits)	(605) 563- 2615
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6e. E-mail Address (50 characters max.)	dvoss@dtgnet.com

Block 2: Summary Description of Needs or Services Requested

7 This Form 470 describes (check all that apply):

a. ☒ Tariffed services - telecommunications services, purchased at regulated prices, for which the applicant has no signed, written contract. A new Form 470 must be filed for tariffed services for each funding year.

b. ☒ Month-to-month services for which the applicant has no signed, written contract. A new Form 470 must be filed for these services for each funding year.

c. ☒ Services for which a new written contract is sought for the funding year in Item 2.

d. ☒ A multi-year contract signed on or before 7/10/97 but for which no Form 470 has been filed in a previous program year.

NOTE: Services that are covered by a qualified contract for all or part of the funding year in Item 2 do NOT require filing of Form 470. A qualified contract is a signed, written contract executed pursuant to posting a Form 470 in a previous program year OR a contract signed on/before 7/10/97 and reported on a Form 470 in a previous year as an existing contract.

8 ☒ Telecommunications Services
Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?

a. ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at _____ or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.

b. ☒ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Telecommunications Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., local voice service) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., 20 existing lines plus 10 new ones). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Telecommunications Services, and remember that only common carrier telecommunications companies can provide these services under the universal service support mechanism. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
Dist. Learning/Interactive Video Data Link	1
Telephone Service/Local	6 existing lines
Telephone Service/Long Distance	6 existing lines
Cellular Service	1

9 ☒ Internet Access
Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?

a. ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at _____ or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.

b. ☒ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Internet Access Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., monthly Internet service) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., for 500 users). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Internet Access Services. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
Internet Access Service	T1/1

10 ☒ Internal Connections**Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?**

a ☒ **YES**, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at
or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.

b ☒ **NO**, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Internal Connections Services you seek. Specify each **service or function** (e.g., local area network) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., connecting 10 rooms and 300 computers at 56Kbps or better). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Internal Connections Services. Add additional lines if needed.

move to
elecomm

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
ISDN	1
Network Maintenance Agreement	1

11 (Optional) Please name the person on your staff or project who can provide additional technical details or answer specific questions from service providers about the services you are seeking. This need not be the contact person listed in Item 6 nor the signer of this form.

Name:	Title:
Lisa Kraning	Tech Coordinator

Telephone number (10 digits + ext.)
(605) 563 - 2291 109

Fax number
(605) 563 - 2615

E-mail Address (50 characters max.)
Lisa.Kraning@k12.sd.us

12. ☐ Check here if there are any restrictions imposed by state or local laws or regulations on how or when providers may contact you or on other bidding procedures. Please describe below any such restrictions or procedures, and/or give Web address where they are posted.

13. (Optional) Purchases in future years: If you have plans to purchase additional services in future years, or expect to seek new contracts for existing services, summarize below (including the likely time-frames).

Block 3: Technology Assessment

14. ☐ Basic telephone service only: If your application is for basic local and long distance voice telephone service only, check this box and skip to Item 16.

15. Although the following services and facilities are ineligible for support, they are usually necessary to make effective use of the eligible services requested in this application. Unless you indicated in Item 14 that your application is **ONLY** for basic telephone service, you must check at least one box in (a) through (e). You may provide details for purchases being sought.

a. Desktop communications software: Software required ☒ has been purchased; and/or ☐ is

being sought.

b. Electrical systems: ☒ adequate electrical capacity is in place or has already been arranged; and/or ☐ upgrading for additional electrical capacity is being sought.

c. Computers: a sufficient quantity of computers ☒ has been purchased; and/or ☐ is being sought.

d. Computer hardware maintenance: adequate arrangements ☒ have been made; and/or ☐ are being sought.

e. Staff development: ☐ all staff have had an appropriate level of training or additional training has already been scheduled; and/or ☒ training is being sought.

f. Additional details: Use this space to provide additional details to help providers to identify the services you desire.

Block 4: Recipients of Service

16. Eligible Entities That Will Receive Service:

Check the ONE choice that best describes this application and the eligible entities that will receive the services described in this application.

You must select a state if (b) or (c) is selected:

a. ☒ Individual school or single-site library: Check here, and enter the billed entity in Item 17.

b. ☐ Statewide application (check all that apply):

☐ All public schools/districts in the state:

☐ All non-public schools in the state:

☐ All libraries in the state:

If your statewide application includes INELIGIBLE entities, check here. ☐ If checked, complete Item 18.

c. ☐ School district, library system, or consortium application to serve multiple eligible sites:

Number of eligible sites	
For these eligible sites, please provide the following	
Area Codes (list each unique area code)	Prefixes associated with each area code (first 3 digits of phone number) separate with commas, leave no spaces

If your application includes INELIGIBLE entities, check here. ☐ If checked, complete Item 18.

17. Billed Entities

Entity Name	Entity Number
CENTERVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT # 60-1	215398

18. Ineligible Entities

Ineligible Participating Entity	Entity Number	Area Code	Prefix
---------------------------------	---------------	-----------	--------

Block 5: Certification**19. The applicant includes:(Check one or both)**

- a. ☒ schools under the statutory definitions of elementary and secondary schools found in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, 20 U.S.C. Secs. 8801(14) and (25), that do not operate as for-profit businesses, and do not have endowments exceeding \$50 million; and/or
- b. ☐ libraries or library consortia eligible for assistance from a State library administrative agency under the Library Services and Technology Act of 1996 that do not operate as for-profit businesses and whose budgets are completely separate from any school (including, but not limited to) elementary and secondary schools, colleges and universities.

20. All of the individual schools, libraries, and library consortia receiving services under this application are covered by:

- a. ☒ individual technology plans for using the services requested in the application
- b. ☒ higher-level technology plans for using the services requested in the application
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; application requests basic local and long distance telephone service only.

21. Status of technology plans (if representing multiple entities with mixed technology plan status, check both a and b):

- a. ☒ technology plan(s) has/have been approved by a state or other authorized body.
- b. ☐ technology plan(s) will be approved by a state or other authorized body.
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; application requests basic local and long distance telephone service only. .

22. ☒ I certify that the services the applicant purchases at discounts provided by 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254 will be used solely for educational purposes and will not be sold, resold, or transferred in consideration for money or any other thing of value.

23. ☒ I recognize that support under this support mechanism is conditional upon the school(s) or library(ies) I represent securing access to all of the resources, including computers, training, software, maintenance, and electrical connections necessary to use the services purchased effectively.

24. ☒ I certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the above-named entities, that I have examined this request, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, all statements of fact contained herein are true.

25. Signature of authorized person: ☐

26. Date (mm/dd/yyyy):

27. Printed name of authorized person: **Doug Voss**

28. Title or position of authorized person: **Supt.**

29. Telephone number of authorized person: **(605) 563 - 2291** ext.

[New Search](#)

[Return To Search Results](#)